Son Armel Sucs
Approved For Release 2002/05/06: CIA-RDP73B00296R000200010016-2

DATE 30 100 PAG

NEW YORK TIMES

SENATORS CONCUR ON WAR CURBS BILL

Accord to Limit President Set by Key Conservative and Liberal Members

> By JOHN W. FINNEY Special to The New York Times

WASHINGTON, Nov. 29—Key conservative and liberal Senators have reached agreement on compromise legislation to curb the war-makin powers of the Presidency.

Basically the proposed legislation would provide that the President could engage the nation in hostilities in certain emergency situations but could not continue a military action for more than 30 days without obtainining Congressional approval.

Details of the ligislation, designed to check the power of the President to commit the United States to undeclared wars, such as that in Vietnam, were worked out in recent days between Senator Jacob K. Javits, Republican of New York, and Senator Thomas F. Eagleton, Democrat of Missouri, and Senator John Stennis, Democrat, of Mississippi, chairman of the Senate Armed Services Committee.

With the unified support of Continued on Page 15, Column 1

such liberal and conservative Senators, it now seems likely that the legislation, in the form of a separate bill, will be approved in the near future by the Senate Foreign Relations Committee, clearing the way for its passage by the Senate early next year.

In a recent letter to Senator Javits, the original sponsor of war powers legislation, Senator Stennis praised the principles

of the compromise bill as "clear" and "sound" and ex-pressed hope that some version of the bill would be enacted into law "in the near future."

Such an endorsement by the chairman of the Senate Armed Services Committee is virtually certain to result in wide-spread conservative support for the legislation. Senators Javits and Eagleton, meanwhile, can be expected to rally liberal and moderate support for the measure.

The hope of the sponsors is that the legislation can be approved by the Senate early next year before it could become involved in the Presidential politics of an election year, with the suggestion that the

bill in some ways might be aimed at President Nixon.

There would remain the problem of obtaining the approval of the House of Representatives, which has taken a less assertive attitude on the issue of the relative war powers of Congress and the Presidency. But the hope is that Senator Stennis' sponsorship will result in a more sympathetic approach on the part of the House leadership.

Quick Approval Sought

For some members of the Senate Foreign Relations Committee, quick committee ap-proval of the bill has assumed some urgency in view of the Senate's recent retreat from its

eign policy powers of the President.

The proposed new compromise legislation to curb the President's war-making powers represents a convergence of views of conservatives and liberals in the Senate, largely as a reaction to the use of Presidential powers in the Vietnam

Curbs Opposed by White House

The bill represents the first serious legislative attempt in recent years to impose restrictions on the war-making powers of the Presidency and to reas sert the constitutional power of Congress to declare war. It has been opposed by the Nixon Administration which, following a

the last half-century, has argued that the President, as Commander in Chief, must have certain flexibility in determincertain flexibility in determining when to commit the armed forces to combat.

In essence, the legislation attempts to strike a compromise between giving the President flexibility to meet emergency situations and protecting the constitutional prerogative of Congress to pass on hostilities.

On one hand, the bill would authorize the President to use the armed forces to repel an attack on Untied States forces stationed abroad, and to protect American citizens.

However, it would provide

pattern that has developed in that the President could not

attempt to impose a Vietnam troop withdrawal policy upon the President. With the war powers legislation, the committee would have an opportunity to reassert the principle, at least in future cases, of the equality of the Senate and the executive branch in determining foreign policy.

On another front, the committee will make a last-ditch attempt to establish that principle in the foreign aid legislation when it goes into conference tomorrow with members of the House Foreign Affairs Commit. tee. As passed by the Senate this month, the foreign aid legislation contains several legislative restrictions on the for-

Stennis Endorses War Powers Curb

mittee Chairman John Sten- go-ahead even for emergency has reached us (D-Miss.) greement in principle with Javits (R.N.Y.) F. Eagleton he limits of presidential owers.

Stennis characterized as a the Senate Foreign Relations 'reasonable compromise" a bill Committee-indicates that this spelling out the situations in strong advocate of military which a President would be the President's broad powers permitted to take emergency to commit the nation to comress.

Stennis, who has introduced before the Senate. iis own presidential-powers neasure, said he hoped some rersion would be enacted soon.

The compromise plan would illow the President-in the bsence of a declaration of var-to take emergency action n four types of situations. But it would require him to top that action within 30 lays unless he received conressional approval ontinue must be specific, and ot simply derived from an ppropriation for nilitary activities.

The legislation stems from inhappiness with the way the Inited States got into the Vietiam war and from widespread eeling that Congress should eassert its control while alowing for emergency presiential action.

Under the compromise, the 'resident could act without rior congressional authorizaon if necessary to repel or nited States; to repel attack n its armed forces stationed broad; to protect the evacua-on of U.S. citizens from for ign places; or pursuant to a irect congressional authorizaon other than a declaration f war.

Senate Armed Services Com-ling; a specific congressional action under these treaties would be required.

Stennis' agreement in-princi ple to the latest version of D-Mo.) on legislation defining the war powers measurewhich Under Secretary of State John N. Irwin II had In a Nov. 24 letter to Javits, opposed in testimoney before nilitary action without the bat by executive action. The prior specific consent of Con- agreement reached last week is another step toward eventually bringing the proposal

> The Foreign Relations Committee has taken no action on the measure other than hearings, but there appear to be enough voies on the committee to approve it once a meeting is called.

Approved Felic Release 2002/05/06 : CIA-RDP73B00296R000200010016-2

ions would not be self-execut-